

From: [Stephen Tzhone](#)
To: [Philip Turner](#); [Jon Rauscher](#)
Subject: Fwd: Re: Port of Houston Authority request for clarification regarding dioxin public announcement
Date: 08/04/2011 03:59 PM
Attachments: [Answers to Sed PCL Questions.pdf](#)
[2009_11_02_Rationale Memo for USACE Permit Conditions w Atts PKT.pdf](#)
[2009_10_13_EPA to TCEQ San Jacinto permits process.pdf](#)
[2009_10_13_EPA to USACE San Jacinto permits process.pdf](#)
[2011_01_25_EPA to USACE and TCEQ San Jacinto permits process update.pdf](#)
[POHA Dioxin Public Announcement Clarification Letter 07292011 with attachment.pdf](#)

Phil, Jon:

See next email for comments from TCEQ. Plan for Friday August 12 to complete research and any recommendations to go forward, thanks.

Thanks,

Stephen L. Tzhone
Superfund Remedial Project Manager
USEPA Region 6 (6SF-RA)
214.665.8409
tzhone.stephen@epa.gov

▼ ["Tracie Phillips" ---08/04/2011 02:17:38 PM---Hi Luda.](#)

From: "Tracie Phillips" <Tracie.Phillips@tceq.texas.gov>
To: "Luda Voskov" <Luda.Voskov@tceq.texas.gov>, "Sue Reilly" <Sue.Reilly@tceq.texas.gov>, Stephen Tzhone/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: "Joseph Haney" <Joseph.Haney@tceq.texas.gov>
Date: 08/04/2011 02:17 PM
Subject:

Hi Luda,

In reviewing the questionFwd: Re: Port of Houston Authority request for clarification regarding dioxin public announcement the POHA has about the permit evaluation process and dredged sediment disposal options, it appears as though the POHA is using the TCDD concentration to compare to the comparison values rather than the TEQ concentration. Upon further evaluation, I noticed that the conditions of determination, as outlined in Section II.A.5 of the Public Announcement, use 33 ppt TCDD organic carbon normalized and 0.45 ppt TCDD non-organic carbon normalized. This was not the original intent of the potential screening values developed by the TD and provided to the EPA for consideration.

The potential screening values developed by the TD used the TCDD BSAF as a conservative method to develop the screening value. However, as stated in my July 23, 2009 email (attached) to EPA and TCEQ project members, ".the TD believe comparison of the oc-normalized sample **TEQ** to the screening criterion of 33 ppt ng/kg-oc for 2,3,7,8-TCDD is likely protective for the sediment-to-fish ingestion human exposure pathway." I also stated ".the **use of a 2,3,7,8-TCDD number is appropriate when no TEQ is available.**"

In the real world example given by the POHA, the TEQ is 0.678 ppt; I am going to assume this is non-organic carbon normalized based on the non-organic carbon normalized TCDD concentration given. Therefore, if the potential screening values provided by TD were used as TD intended and explained, the following would be true:

Non-organic carbon normalized

TEQ non-organic carbon normalized = **0.678 ppt, which is greater than 0.45 ppt**
= **Condition #2**, disposal in a hazardous waste landfill or upland confined disposal area

Organic carbon normalized

TEQ non-organic carbon normalized $0.678 \text{ ppt} / 0.00336 \text{ (percent organic carbon)} = \mathbf{201.8 \text{ ppt organic carbon normalized, which is greater than 33 ppt = Condition \#2}}$, disposal in a hazardous waste landfill or upland confined disposal area

Also, as discussed in the provided email, please keep in mind that the potential screening value developed by the TD, which was based off of the TMDL data, was 33 ppt organic carbon normalized. It was requested that the TD also provide a non-organic carbon normalized potential screening value. To accomplish this the TD back-calculated the 33 ppt ng/kg-oc using an average TOC of 1.35% (from the TMDL data) for the HSC. This calculation gives the 0.45 ppt non-organic carbon normalized value.

non-oc-normalized potential screening value = $(33 \text{ ppt ng/kg-oc}) * (0.0135) = 0.45 \text{ ppt}$

The non-organic carbon normalized potential screening value of 0.45 ppt provided by the TD is a default value based on an average %TOC for the HSC. *If the %TOC is known for a specific area, then site-specific %TOC would be better for the calculation of the non-organic carbon normalized value.*

Site-specific for the real world example given by the POHA:

non-oc-normalized potential screening value = $(33 \text{ ppt ng/kg-oc}) * (0.00336) = \mathbf{0.11 \text{ ppt}}$

Therefore

TEQ non-organic carbon normalized = **0.678 ppt, which is greater than 0.11 ppt (based on site-specific %TOC) = Condition \#2**, disposal in a hazardous waste landfill or upland confined disposal area

Please let me know if you have any questions.



Answers to Sed PCLQuestions.pdf

Tracie

***Please note my email address has changed to tracie.phillips@tceq.texas.gov**

Tracie Phillips, Ph.D. | Senior Toxicologist | TCEQ

12100 Park 35 Circle, Bldg. F | Austin, Texas 78753 | ☎: (512) 239-2269 Fax: (512) 239-1794 | ✉: tracie.phillips@tceq.texas.gov



yy9VAEkAAAAAAAAARGVzY3JpcHRpb246IERlc2NyaXB0aW9uOiBEZXNjcmlwdGlvbGogY2lkOmltYWdlMDAxLmdpZkAwMUNCMEVGMi45Njk1MUJFMA== Please consider whether it is necessary to print this e-mail

▼ "Luda Voskov" ---07/29/2011 04:24:18 PM--- Tracie, Sue

From: "Luda Voskov" <Luda.Voskov@tceq.texas.gov>
To: "Sue Reilly" <Sue.Reilly@tceq.texas.gov>, "Tracie Phillips" <Tracie.Phillips@tceq.texas.gov>
Cc: Stephen Tzhone/R6/USEPA/US@EPA

Date: 07/29/2011 04:24 PM
Subject: Fwd: Re: Port of Houston Authority request for clarification regarding dioxin public announcement

Tracie, Sue
please let me know if you have any comments on this request.





Thanks!
Luda Voskov, P.G., Project Manager
Team 2, Superfund Section
Remediation Division, TCEQ
Direct Line - (512) 239-6368
Fax - (512) 239-2450
e-mail: luda.voskov@tceq.texas.gov

▼ Stephen Tzhone---07/29/2011 12:05:39 PM---Phil, Jon, See next email and question from POHA. Any recommendations? If necessary, we can amend

From: Stephen Tzhone/R6/USEPA/US
To: Phillip Turner/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Jon Rauscher/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Garyg Miller/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Stephen Ellis <STellis@tceq.state.tx.us>, "Luda Voskov" <Luda.Voskov@tceq.texas.gov>, Jessica Hernandez/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Carlos Sanchez/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Sharon Parrish/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Date: 07/29/2011 12:05 PM
Subject: Re: Port of Houston Authority request for clarification regarding dioxin public announcement

Phil, Jon,

See next email and question from POHA. Any recommendations? If necessary, we can amend the original risk assessor rationale memo and subsequent permits policy with USACE and TCEQ as well.

 2009_11_02_Rationale Memo for USACE Permit Conditions w Atts_PKT.pdf
 2009_10_13_EPA to TCEQ_San Jacinto permits process.pdf  2009_10_13_EPA to USACE_San Jacinto permits process.pdf
 2011_01_25_EPA to USACE and TCEQ_San Jacinto permits process update.pdf

Thanks,

Stephen L. Tzhone
Superfund Remedial Project Manager
USEPA Region 6 (6SF-RA)
214.665.8409
tzhone.stephen@epa.gov

▼ Nicole Hausler ---07/29/2011 11:17:58 AM---Stephen and Stephen, Please find attached a letter from PHA requesting clarification on the October

From: Nicole Hausler <NCass@poha.com>
To: Stephen Ellis <STellis@tceq.state.tx.us>, Stephen Tzhone/R6/USEPA/US@EPA
Cc: Garyg Miller/R6/USEPA/US@EPA, Dana Blume <dblume@poha.com>
Date: 07/29/2011 11:17 AM
Subject: Port of Houston Authority request for clarification regarding dioxin public announcement



POHA Dioxin Public Announcement Clarification Letter 07292011 with attachment.pdf

Stephen and Stephen,

Please find attached a letter from PHA requesting clarification on the October 2009 Joint Agency dredged sediment disposal options public announcement.

I look forward to your response.

Thank you,

Nicole

Nicole D. Hausler

Senior Compliance Coordinator

Port of Houston Authority

T: 713-670-2683

F: 713-670-2427

nhausler@poha.com

www.portofhouston.com



Please consider whether it is necessary to print this e-mail

First in foreign tonnage

CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION

This message and attached materials are for the use of the addressee above and may contain confidential information. Please do not disseminate, distribute, or copy this message unless you are the addressee. If you received this message in error, please immediately notify the sender by replying to this message or by telephone at (713) 670-2683. Thank you.